

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,818	9/995,818 11/29/2001		Eiji Furukawa	122.1476	9741	
21171	7590	03/31/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & 1	HALSEY	/ LLP	ROSARIO, DENNIS			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	YORK AV	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT			2624			
				DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/995,818	FURUKAWA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Dennis Rosario	2621			

	Demiis Rosano	2021					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other evid n compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no vent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month- tearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in th	. The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef will not be entered	because				
(a) ☐ The proposed amendment(s) med after a finial rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		512 Below),					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying	g the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		e timely filed amendo	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).		e, unitery med amendi	nent canceling				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		will be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11,12 and 14</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
	t before or on the data of filing a	Nation of Annual will	not he entered				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of flling a nd sufficient reasons why the affid	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant for	ails to provide a				
10.	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See the attached "Response to Amendment".	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Page	r No(s).					
13. Other:	(	. /					
10. [_] Saiot		Mb-					

BHAVESH M. MEHTA

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1,9 has the limitation of "drawing sheets" and claim 14 has the active limitation of "dividing" that would require a new search and further consideration.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. The after final amendment was received on March 16, 2006. Claims 1-9,11,12 and 14 are pending.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments on page 9, last paragraph, filed 3/16/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and states:

"...claim 1, recites means for <u>creating</u> an inter-drawing connection diagram file...which is not disclosed by Kaiser..."

However, the examiner respectfully disagrees, since Kaiser discloses a means for (fig. 4,num. 38 or "schematic capture package" in col. 3, line 36) creating ("created" in col. 3, line 34) an inter-drawing connection diagram file (as shown by the connections via the arrows within fig. 4,num. 38 or as shown in fig. 1, where each sheet of fig. 1 is connected to other sheets via a solid or dashed line.).

3. Applicant's arguments on page 9, last paragraph, filed 3/16/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and states:

"It follows that nothing in Kaiser et al. 'describes relations of mutual connections between a plurality of drawings' as defined in claim 1..."

Application/Control Number: 09/995,818 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

However, the examiner respectfully disagrees, since Kaiser describes relations of mutual connections (as shown by either a dashed or solid line between the rectangles that are labeled as "SHEET") between a plurality of drawings (where each sheet has a drawing).

4. Applicant's arguments on page 10, first paragraph, filed 3/16/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and states:

"...Kaiser et al. does not have any element corresponding to... 'means for indicating, on one screen, a plurality of the drawings miniaturized according to the description in the inter-drawing connection diagram file which has been created."

However, the examiner respectfully disagrees, since Kaiser does have an element (fig. 2,num. 34a) corresponding to means for indicating, on one screen, a plurality of the drawings miniaturized (fig. 2, num. 34a includes at least fig. 2,num. 22 as shown in the middle left side of fig. 2,num. 34a as a "functional block" in col. 5, line 63 which corresponds to the claimed "drawings miniaturized") according to the description in the inter-drawing connection diagram file (of fig. 1 where ADD-DET SHEET 1 corresponds to fig. 2,num. 34a and DECODE SHEET 1 corresponds to fig. 2, numerals 34b shown as individual elements and in fig. 2,num. 34a as a functional block) which has been created.

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600